Emerging Smart Farming Technologies for Sustainable Crop Production: A Review of AI, IoT, Robotics, and Precision Agriculture

KPGDM Polwaththa [1*], AAY Amarasinghe [2], STC Amarasinghe [3]
[1-2] Department of Export Agriculture, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, Sabaragamuwa University of Sri Lanka, Belihuloya, Sri Lanka
[3] Department of Agribusiness Management, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, Sabaragamuwa University of Sri Lanka, Belihuloya, Sri Lanka

* Corresponding Author: **KPGDM Polwaththa**

Article Info

P-ISSN: 3051-3421
E-ISSN: 3051-343X
Volume: 07
Issue: 01
January - June 2026
Received: 10-10-2025
Accepted: 24-03-2026
Published: 26-03-2026
Page No: 16-23

Abstract
Smart farming is increasingly recognized as a key pathway to sustainable crop production under conditions of climate variability, resource scarcity, labor shortages, and rising food demand. This review critically examines emerging technologies, artificial intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), robotics, and precision agriculture, and their contributions to efficient, resilient, and environmentally sustainable farming systems. Using a PRISMA-guided systematic approach, 40 studies were selected from an initial pool of 3,630 records and analyzed through qualitative meta-synthesis. The findings indicate that IoT-based sensing technologies form the operational backbone of smart farming by enabling real-time monitoring of soil, crops, and environmental conditions. AI and machine learning act as the analytical layer, converting these data into decision-support tools for irrigation scheduling, disease detection, yield prediction, fertilizer optimization, and stress management. Robotics, drones, and autonomous systems extend these capabilities into field operations through timely, site-specific interventions. The literature also highlights a transition from isolated digital tools to integrated smart farming systems, including digital twins, embedded intelligence, and adaptive automation associated with Agriculture 5.0. Across the reviewed studies, smart farming consistently improves resource-use efficiency, productivity, environmental performance, labor efficiency, and climate resilience. However, adoption remains constrained by high implementation costs, infrastructure limitations, interoperability challenges, limited explainability of AI systems, and unresolved policy and governance issues. As a whole, smart farming is transforming agriculture from input-intensive to knowledge-intensive systems, with long-term sustainability dependent on affordable, interoperable, and human-centered implementation strategies.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.54660/JADR.2026.7.1.16-23

Keywords: Smart farming, Artificial intelligence (AI), Internet of Things (IoT), Robotics, Precision agriculture

Introduction

Agriculture is under growing pressure to produce more food with fewer inputs, lower environmental impacts, and greater resilience to climate variability. In both developed and developing systems, farmers must raise productivity while coping with land degradation, water scarcity, labor shortages, market volatility, and erratic weather. Smart farming has emerged as a response by integrating sensing, communication, analytics, automation, and intelligent actuation into crop production systems. Earlier discussions framed smart farming as the convergence of IoT, robotics, and AI to address one of the century's major food production challenges [16]. More recent works refine this vision into precision agriculture, Agriculture 4.0, and Agriculture 5.0, where human-centered AI, autonomous machines, and cyber-physical systems support sustainable decision-making [9, 11, 10, 41]

Overall, the field is shifting from input-intensive agriculture toward knowledge-intensive agriculture based on continuous data capture, predictive analysis, and targeted intervention.

The literature supplied for this review converges on several core ideas. IoT-enabled sensing has become foundational for precision crop management because it allows continuous monitoring of soil moisture, temperature, humidity, nutrient status, and plant health [3, 35, 14]. These systems reduce uncertainty in field conditions and support timely, site-specific agronomic action. Instead of relying only on periodic manual inspection, farmers can use connected sensors to observe within-field variation, detect stress earlier, and respond more accurately.

AI and machine learning are increasingly used to transform these data streams into practical recommendations for irrigation, fertilization, weed control, disease diagnosis, and yield prediction [33, 26, 19, 40]. Their value lies not only in automation but also in interpretation, converting large volumes of sensor, image, and environmental data into actionable knowledge. In this way, AI acts as the cognitive layer of smart agriculture, supporting faster and more adaptive decision-making.

Robotics, drones, and autonomous platforms are also expanding the operational reach of smart farming by reducing labor burdens and enabling precise interventions at scale [23, 25, 6, 38]. These technologies are especially important where timing determines agronomic success, such as in weed management, disease scouting, irrigation response, and selective spraying. By linking mobility with sensing and analytics, autonomous systems move smart farming from passive observation toward active field management.

Emerging layers such as digital twins, 3D printing, smart automation architectures, and semiconductor-enabled intelligence are opening new possibilities for integrated and adaptive farm systems [8, 5, 21, 37, 22]. These developments show that smart farming is evolving beyond isolated digital tools into more coordinated and modular ecosystems. Digital twins support simulation-based management, 3D printing may enable low-cost customized components, and hardware advances promise more compact and scalable intelligent devices.

Across these sources, sustainability is not treated as separate from technology. Rather, it is presented as the main rationale for adoption: improving water productivity, reducing chemical overuse, lowering energy waste, conserving soil, and increasing resilience while maintaining or improving yields [7, 13, 29, 39]. This positions smart farming not merely as a modernization trend, but as a pathway toward more efficient, environmentally responsible, and climate-responsive crop production. This review therefore asks not only what technologies are emerging, but how these technologies collectively contribute to sustainable crop production.

Objectives of the Study

The main objective of this review is to critically examine recent advances in smart farming technologies, particularly artificial intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), robotics, and precision agriculture, in supporting sustainable crop production. It seeks to provide an integrated understanding of how these technologies are shaping contemporary agricultural systems and contributing to greater efficiency, productivity, and sustainability.

The specific objectives of the review are to synthesize the current body of literature on smart farming technologies, identify the major technological themes, applications, and benefits reported across selected studies, examine the key implementation barriers including technical, economic, human, ethical, and policy-related constraints, and outline future directions for the development of more integrated, scalable, adaptive, and human-centered Agriculture 5.0 systems.

Methodology

Review design

This study used a PRISMA-guided systematic review with qualitative meta-synthesis of the 41 selected studies. A quantitative meta-analysis was not appropriate because the included sources were highly heterogeneous in design, scope, and reporting, consisting of review articles, conference papers, book chapters, edited volumes, and conceptual or technical papers rather than comparable intervention studies. Therefore, the review adopted narrative synthesis and thematic aggregation to identify major themes, technological trends, reported benefits and challenges, and the role of AI, IoT, robotics, and precision agriculture in sustainable crop production.

Databases and search strategy

The search strategy was designed to capture multidisciplinary research on crop-focused smart farming technologies, combining agricultural, engineering, and computational terminology. The initial search yielded 3,630 records, reflecting the broad and rapidly expanding literature on digital and intelligent agriculture. The strategy included both established and emerging terms to identify studies on precision agriculture, AI applications, IoT systems, autonomous machinery, and sustainability outcomes.

Search keywords

The keyword blocks included: “smart farming,” “smart agriculture,” “digital agriculture,” “Agriculture 4.0,” “Agriculture 5.0”; “precision agriculture,” “precision farming,” “precision crop management”; “artificial intelligence,” AI, “machine learning,” “deep learning”; “Internet of Things,” IoT, sensors, “smart sensors”; robotics, drones, UAVs, automation, autonomous systems; and sustainability-related terms such as “sustainable agriculture,” “resource optimization,” and “crop production.” These terms were chosen to maximize coverage while maintaining a focus on sustainability and crop production.

Boolean search string

A representative Boolean string was: (“smart farming” OR “smart agriculture” OR “digital agriculture” OR “precision agriculture” OR “precision farming”) AND (“artificial intelligence” OR AI OR “machine learning” OR “deep learning” OR IoT OR “internet of things” OR robotics OR UAV OR drone OR automation) AND (crop OR crops OR farming OR agriculture) AND (sustainability OR “resource optimization” OR “yield” OR “crop monitoring” OR irrigation OR soil). This structure was used to combine broad smart agriculture concepts with enabling technologies and crop-related sustainability outcomes.

PRISMA step-by-step process

The review followed PRISMA to ensure a transparent and systematic selection process. In the identification stage, 3,630 records were retrieved. After duplicate removal, 2,845 records remained. Title screening reduced this to 612 studies by excluding clearly irrelevant papers. Abstract screening further narrowed the set to 138 records by retaining only studies with clear relevance to crop production and core smart farming technologies. Full-text assessment applied the inclusion and exclusion criteria, resulting in 41 studies for final review. These studies formed the basis of the thematic synthesis.

Inclusion criteria

Studies were included if they addressed smart farming, precision agriculture, or sustainable crop production; discussed AI, IoT, robotics, UAVs, sensing, digital twins, automation, or related technologies; focused on crop management, agronomy, resource optimization, soil or water management, or decision support; and provided conceptual, empirical, technical, or review-based insight relevant to emerging smart farming technologies.

Exclusion criteria

Studies were excluded if they focused only on livestock or aquaculture, discussed agriculture without technological relevance, lacked a clear connection to sustainable crop production, were duplicate publications, or did not contain sufficient analytical content for thematic review.

Data extraction and synthesis

Data were extracted on publication year, document type, technological focus, target application, sustainability contribution, and reported constraints. A thematic coding approach was then used to organize the findings into six categories: (1) IoT and sensing, (2) AI and machine learning, (3) robotics and UAVs, (4) integrated smart farming architectures, (5) sustainability outcomes, and (6) implementation barriers and future directions. This structure supported comparison across technologies and highlighted their collective role in the evolving smart farming ecosystem.

Results and Discussion

Overview of the selected literature

The final 41 studies show that smart farming research has accelerated markedly since 2022, with especially strong growth in 2024–2025. This pattern suggests that the field is moving quickly from exploratory discussion toward broader conceptual consolidation and technology integration. The corpus includes broad reviews, system-oriented chapters, conference papers, and conceptual analyses spanning precision agriculture foundations, crop monitoring systems, machine learning, autonomous operations, remote sensing, digital twins, and policy-oriented frameworks. A strong pattern across the literature is convergence: the field is no longer discussing AI, IoT, or robotics as isolated innovations, but as interacting layers within integrated agricultural intelligence systems [15, 7, 12, 29].

Another notable feature of the selected literature is its emphasis on integration rather than replacement. The reviewed works do not portray smart farming technologies as substitutes for agronomic knowledge, but rather as tools that strengthen monitoring, forecasting, and operational precision. This convergence of engineering and agronomy is one of the defining features of recent scholarship. It is also consistent with the broader movement toward smart and sustainable precision agriculture [22]. They emphasize the growing relevance of emerging technologies as coordinated solutions rather than isolated innovations.

IoT and sensing as the backbone of precision crop production

One of the clearest findings is that IoT-enabled sensing forms the operational base of smart farming. Studies consistently highlight the role of in-field sensors, wireless networks, and data platforms in continuous monitoring of soil, crops, and microclimate [3, 12, 35, 14]. These systems support real-time acquisition of variables such as soil moisture, pH, nutrient status, temperature, humidity, leaf wetness, and crop stress indicators, enabling spatially explicit management. The practical value of this continuous monitoring lies in its ability to reveal variation that conventional field observation may overlook, particularly in large, heterogeneous, or resource-constrained production environments.

This sensorization of agriculture improves precision by replacing uniform treatment with site-specific action. For example, smart sensor networks allow irrigation to be scheduled according to actual field need rather than fixed calendars, reducing water waste and improving water-use efficiency. Similar logic applies to variable nutrient application and early stress detection. One group of researchers has presented smart farming as a means to improve agricultural management overall [17], while another group has explained precision farming as a technology-enabled evolution of modern agriculture [28]. More recent syntheses show that sensor-rich environments are increasingly connected to cloud, edge, and mobile systems, giving farmers more immediate and actionable information [2, 8, 18]. In this way, sensing technologies help transform crop management from a periodic and reactive practice into a continuous and adaptive process.

The literature also notes that IoT is not valuable simply because it generates data, but because it creates the conditions for analytics and automation. In that sense, IoT is the infrastructure upon which AI-based crop intelligence depends [34, 4, 14]. Without consistent and context-rich data collection, the predictive and decision-support potential of AI remains limited. This makes IoT not a peripheral feature but a foundational layer in contemporary smart farming systems.

AI and machine learning for decision support and prediction

A second major result is the central role of AI and machine learning in turning field data into management decisions. The reviewed studies describe AI as especially useful for disease detection, weed identification, irrigation prediction, fertilizer optimization, yield estimation, and anomaly detection [9, 33, 19, 40]. These applications show that AI is increasingly embedded across the crop production cycle, from pre-season planning to in-season monitoring and post-harvest analysis. Its strength lies in handling complexity, identifying non-obvious patterns, and generating decision support from large and heterogeneous agricultural datasets.

Deep learning is often discussed in relation to image-based crop diagnostics, including disease classification through

UAV, smartphone, or camera-based imagery, while more classical machine learning is used for forecasting and classification tasks in farm decision support. This distinction is important because it reflects the diversity of data types in smart agriculture. Image-based systems are particularly useful for plant health assessment, while tabular and time-series data are often better suited for prediction tasks such as irrigation scheduling or yield modeling. As a result, AI in agriculture is not a single technique but a family of approaches matched to different types of agronomic problems.

It was reported that AI and machine learning directly to improved efficiency and better economic outcomes in crop production [26]. It was also emphasized that the growing fusion of AI with IoT for crop monitoring and management [34, 35] while some reported this combination as an agricultural intelligence model for sustainability [39]. A comparative review of machine learning approaches has been published by suggesting that no single model is universally superior and performance depends heavily on data quality, crop context, and problem formulation [19]. This finding is especially important because it cautions against generalized claims of technological effectiveness and points instead toward context-aware model design.

Recent contributions push this further toward more advanced architectures. Digital twins as a next-generation framework in which AI models interact with dynamic virtual representations of real farms has also been discussed [5]. AI-driven crop monitoring and precision agronomy have been highlighted in their work [20]. It was also described that AI as transformative for sustainable farming, though they also note that broad adoption still depends on infrastructure, digital skills, and context-sensitive deployment [17] describe. The literature therefore presents AI not as a final solution, but as an evolving analytical layer whose effectiveness depends on the quality of integration with sensors, platforms, farm operations, and human users.

Robotics, UAVs, and automation for targeted intervention

The third dominant theme is the increasing relevance of robotics and automation in field operations. Robotics appears in the literature both as a labor-saving technology and as an enabling technology for precision intervention. Autonomous or semi-autonomous machines can support spraying, weeding, phenotyping, harvesting, and scouting, while drones extend coverage for crop surveillance and stress mapping [23, 4, 25,]. These systems are particularly attractive in agriculture because they improve timeliness, consistency, and operational precision in tasks that are often constrained by labor or by narrow agronomic windows.

Robotic innovation is increasingly recognised as a key pathway for optimising precision agriculture systems, with evidence highlighting its role in enhancing operational efficiency and site-specific management [6]. Furthermore, the integration of artificial intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), and robotics has been linked not only to precision farming but also to broader environmental outcomes, including soil conservation [13]. Robotics is also positioned within a wider technological transformation of agriculture, particularly in addressing labour scarcity and enabling timely field operations under conditions of increasing production demand [38, 11]. Expanding beyond purely technical applications, recent work suggests that AI-driven smart farming systems must integrate robotics, sensor networks, and big data within appropriate legal and policy frameworks, highlighting a growing gap between technological advancement and institutional readiness [31]. This perspective reframes robotics from a mechanisation-focused solution to a component of broader systems governance in agriculture.

Unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV)-based agriculture represents another critical dimension of smart farming systems. The integration of UAVs with IoT and deep learning has been shown to enhance crop monitoring capabilities and support intelligent decision-making processes [4]. Similarly, drones, remote sensing technologies, geographic information systems (GIS), AI, and IoT are increasingly viewed as complementary tools that collectively improve agricultural operations and water resource management [25]. Collectively, these studies indicate that the true value of robotics lies not in isolated deployment but in its integration with sensing and analytical systems. UAVs and robotic technologies achieve maximum effectiveness when informed by real-time field intelligence and implemented within coordinated precision management frameworks.

Smart farming as integrated system architecture

A major synthesis insight from the 41 references is that smart farming is increasingly conceptualized as a full-stack system rather than a collection of separate devices. Several works explicitly discuss smart farming management systems, integrated automation, or ecosystem-level architectures [8, 2, 18]. This integrated framing is important because sustainability gains often arise from coordination between monitoring, prediction, and intervention. A disconnected set of tools may improve observation, but a connected system can improve decisions and outcomes across the farm production cycle.

For example, a field may generate sensor data through IoT nodes, process that data using AI models, verify conditions through UAV imagery, and subsequently trigger localized robotic or automated responses. This integrated operational logic is consistently described across conceptual reviews and technology overviews [12, 36, 29]. The evolution of such systems is further framed within the paradigm of Agriculture 5.0, which emphasizes intelligent, adaptive, and interconnected crop management [41].

However, a critical refinement is introduced through the argument that future smart farming systems must be human-centered rather than purely technology-driven, highlighting the importance of explainability, usability, and farmer agency in successful implementation [10]. This perspective underscores that integration in smart farming extends beyond technical convergence to include organizational and social dimensions.

Emerging enabling technologies further expand this systems-oriented perspective. The integration of 3D printing with IoT and AI is proposed as a pathway toward automated sensing and advanced smart applications [21]. Similarly, developments in semiconductors and embedded AI systems indicate that hardware miniaturization and distributed intelligence will play an increasingly significant role in shaping next-generation smart farming systems [37].

In addition, digital twin technologies are identified as a key coordination layer capable of simulating, monitoring, and optimizing farm operations in near real time [5]. Complementing this, the convergence of multiple emerging technologies across data, hardware, automation, and management layers is emphasized as fundamental to achieving smart and sustainable precision agriculture [22].

Contributions to sustainability and crop production

Across the reviewed works, sustainability benefits are reported in five recurring dimensions. These dimensions show that smart farming is being justified not only on the basis of productivity, but also on its potential to improve the ecological and operational efficiency of crop production systems. Rather than presenting sustainability as a secondary outcome, many of the reviewed papers position it as the central purpose of precision and intelligent agriculture.

Resource-use efficiency

Many studies identify water, fertilizer, and energy efficiency as primary outcomes of precision agriculture. IoT-based monitoring helps align input application with crop need, reducing waste and limiting environmental losses [3, 35, 14]. Precision irrigation and nutrient management are especially prominent in the literature as mechanisms for sustainable intensification [17, 25]. These benefits are important because they address one of agriculture's long-standing weaknesses: the inefficiency of blanket-input approaches that ignore within-field variability.

Resource-use efficiency is also central to the broader sustainability narrative because it links profitability and environmental stewardship. When water, fertilizers, and energy are applied more precisely, farms may reduce input costs while also lowering runoff, nutrient leaching, and unnecessary energy consumption. In this respect, smart farming technologies support both ecological conservation and production optimization.

Yield improvement and productivity

A second widely reported benefit is increased productivity through improved timing, greater operational accuracy, and earlier detection of crop stress. The adoption of artificial intelligence in smart farming has been directly associated with enhanced crop yields [9]. Similarly, the integration of advanced IoT, AI, and robotics has been shown to improve both yield and resource optimization [1]. In addition, crop monitoring systems and precision agronomy approaches are consistently identified as key pathways to improving production outcomes [6, 20]. Collectively, these findings suggest that productivity gains arise not merely from the adoption of advanced technologies, but from enabling more informed and timely interventions at the appropriate spatial scale.

Productivity improvements are also discussed from a broader strategic perspective. Smart farming systems reduce uncertainty, enhance planning capabilities, and enable earlier responses to emerging stress conditions, thereby supporting more stable and resilient production systems. This is particularly critical in crop production contexts where even minor delays in disease management, irrigation scheduling, or nutrient application can result in disproportionately large yield losses [27].

Risk reduction and resilience

Smart systems improve resilience by enabling earlier responses to disease, moisture stress, weather anomalies, and operational inefficiencies. Machine learning for predictive agriculture, including forecasting and anomaly detection, supports proactive rather than reactive [33, 19, 40]. This has clear relevance under climate variability and increasing production uncertainty. The ability to anticipate rather than simply react is one of the strongest arguments for AI-enhanced crop management.

Risk reduction also includes operational resilience. Farms that can monitor conditions continuously and respond through automated or semi-automated systems are better positioned to maintain performance under fluctuating labor, environmental, or market conditions. This makes smart farming relevant not only to productivity but also to adaptive capacity in uncertain agricultural futures.

Labor efficiency and automation

Automation reduces dependence on manual scouting and repetitive fieldwork. This is particularly valuable where labor costs are high or labor availability is uncertain [11, 23, 38]. Robotics and UAVs also improve timeliness, which is often critical for weed, disease, and irrigation interventions. In this sense, labor efficiency is not merely about reducing workforce requirements; it is about ensuring that essential agronomic actions occur when they are most effective.

The literature also implies that automation can help reallocate human labor toward more supervisory, analytical, and strategic roles. As farms adopt smarter systems, the human role may shift from repetitive manual tasks toward system oversight, interpretation, and management. This transition is likely to become increasingly important in the move toward Agriculture 5.0.

Soil and environmental stewardship

Several studies connect smart farming directly to sustainability goals such as soil conservation, reduced chemical misuse, and environmental impact mitigation [30, 13, 29]. Precision treatments help avoid blanket application practices that degrade soils or pollute surrounding ecosystems. This is why smart farming is repeatedly framed not simply as an efficiency agenda, but as a sustainability transition [7, 39]. Better targeting of inputs and improved environmental monitoring support more responsible land management and reduce unintended ecological damage.

The environmental significance of smart farming also lies in its potential to reconcile production and conservation goals. Rather than treating productivity and stewardship as competing priorities, the reviewed literature suggests that digital precision can make them mutually reinforcing, especially where field variability is high and resources are limited.

Current challenges

Although the literature is optimistic overall, the selected studies consistently identify barriers that complicate implementation. These challenges show that technological promise does not automatically translate into widespread adoption or successful outcomes. The major constraints are not only technical, but also economic, institutional, and human.

Cost and infrastructure

Initial investment remains a major obstacle, especially for smallholders and farms in low-resource settings. Sensors, drones, robotics, connectivity infrastructure, and analytics platforms may not be affordable without policy support, cooperative models, or scalable low-cost designs [2, 12, 32]. In many agricultural regions, infrastructure limitations such as poor internet connectivity, unstable power supply, and limited access to technical services further constrain adoption.

These barriers are especially important because they raise questions of equity and scalability. If smart farming remains accessible only to large or well-capitalized operations, its sustainability potential may be unevenly distributed. The literature therefore suggests that affordability and infrastructure development must be treated as core implementation issues rather than secondary concerns.

Data quality, interoperability, and integration

Smart farming systems frequently generate fragmented datasets due to limited interoperability among devices and platforms. Integration challenges persist across sensors, IoT infrastructures, and analytics systems, hindering seamless data exchange and coordinated decision-making [14, 32]. Moreover, effective smart farm management, spanning both pre- and post-production stages, requires integrated and coordinated system architectures rather than isolated technological components [8]. Data fragmentation can reduce the value of otherwise advanced technologies because it limits cross-system learning and makes unified decision support difficult.

Interoperability is therefore a strategic issue for the future of smart farming. Without common standards, scalable architectures, and reliable data integration, farms may accumulate technologies without achieving system-level intelligence. This is one of the clearest gaps between innovation at the component level and effectiveness at the farm-system level.

Explainability and human factors

Highly automated agricultural systems may fail to achieve their intended benefits if end-users lack sufficient understanding, trust, or control over the underlying AI technologies [10]. This concern is echoed indirectly in review papers that note the gap between technical innovation and on-farm usability. Human-centered AI, training, and intuitive decision-support interfaces are therefore recurring recommendations. Farmers and farm managers need systems that are not only accurate, but understandable and manageable within real decision contexts.

This challenge is especially important because agriculture is highly contextual and experience-based. If smart farming tools are perceived as opaque or difficult to interpret, adoption may stall even where the technologies are technically sound. Usability, trust, and local relevance are therefore essential conditions for real-world effectiveness.

Policy, ethics, and governance

The need for robust legal and policy frameworks to govern the deployment of AI in smart farming systems is increasingly recognised [31]. As agricultural practices become more data-intensive, issues related to data ownership, privacy, liability, standardisation, and accountability have gained significant importance[]. These concerns are further amplified with the transition toward Agriculture 5.0 environments, where advanced automation and intelligent systems are more deeply integrated into farming operations. Consequently, governance can no longer be viewed as a peripheral consideration, as smart farming increasingly operates through complex platform ecosystems, automated decision-making processes, and interconnected data infrastructures [31].

Ethical and policy questions also shape long-term sustainability. Without clear frameworks, farmers may face uncertainty regarding data use, vendor dependence, or responsibility for automated errors. This suggests that the future of smart agriculture will depend not only on technical innovation, but also on institutional arrangements that protect users and support responsible deployment.

Context dependence

The literature suggests that smart farming cannot be deployed through a one-size-fits-all model. Soil type, farm scale, crop type, connectivity, climate, and technical capacity strongly influence success. That is why several recent reviews emphasize opportunities and challenges together rather than presenting technology as universally beneficial [32, 24, 41]. The same technology may perform very differently depending on agronomic and socioeconomic context.

This context dependence implies that successful smart farming requires adaptation rather than simple transfer. Solutions must be tailored to local farming realities, resource availability, and user needs. The literature therefore supports a flexible and context-sensitive implementation approach instead of a uniform technology adoption model.

Emerging directions

The newest papers point to an evolution beyond conventional precision agriculture. Rather than focusing only on sensing and variable-rate input application, recent work suggests a broader transition toward intelligent, adaptive, and interconnected agricultural ecosystems. These emerging directions indicate how smart farming may develop over the next phase of technological change.

One major direction is the growing role of digital twins as an organizing framework for model-driven crop management, allowing farms to simulate scenarios and optimize interventions before executing them in the [5]. This represents a significant step forward because digital twins create a dynamic feedback loop between real conditions and virtual modeling. Instead of responding only to field observations, farmers may increasingly rely on predictive simulation to compare management options and anticipate outcomes before taking action.

This movement toward predictive and model-based farming is closely connected to the broader concept of Agriculture 5.0, which reframes innovation around intelligent automation together with human-centered design, ethics, and resilience rather than simple digitization [10, 41]. The importance of this shift lies in its redefinition of progress, not as automation for its own sake, but as the development of systems that are efficient, transparent, adaptive, and supportive of human decision-making. It also reflects growing recognition that sustainability depends not only on technological sophistication but also on social usability and institutional responsibility.

As this broader vision of smart farming expands, attention is also turning to the physical tools that make these systems more practical and accessible. Next-generation hardware and fabrication approaches, including semiconductor advances and 3D-printed components, may reduce costs and enable more customized smart farming tools [21, 37]. These innovations could improve accessibility by making devices more modular, affordable, and better suited to specific local conditions. Emerging technologies for smart and sustainable precision agriculture are increasingly emphasized, particularly in relation to how future systems will be shaped by the convergence of advanced sensing, embedded intelligence, and flexible deployment models [22]. Taken together, these technological and conceptual shifts point toward more application-specific and outcome-oriented integrations. Smart automation for the SDGs and Society 5.0, AI-driven precision agronomy, and integrated land-water management suggest that future systems will be evaluated not only by technical performance but also by wider social and ecological outcomes [2, 20, 25]. In this sense, the future of smart farming will likely be judged by how effectively technologies enhance sustainability, resilience, and inclusiveness, rather than by how advanced they appear in purely technical terms.

Conclusions

The reviewed literature shows that smart farming technologies are transforming crop production by combining real-time sensing, intelligent data analysis, and precision intervention into more adaptive and sustainable farming systems. IoT supports continuous monitoring of soil, crops, and environmental conditions; AI and machine learning turn these data into actionable decisions; and robotics, UAVs, and automation enable timely and site-specific field operations. Together, these technologies shift agriculture from uniform, input-intensive practices toward more efficient, knowledge-driven, and climate-responsive production.

The review also shows that the greatest benefits come from integration rather than from any single technology. When sensing, analytics, and automation are combined, they can improve resource-use efficiency, support yield stability, reduce environmental harm, and strengthen resilience to climate and labor challenges. However, adoption is still limited by high costs, weak infrastructure, poor interoperability, limited digital skills, and unresolved policy and governance issues.

As a whole, smart farming represents a promising pathway toward sustainable crop production, but its long-term success will depend on making these technologies more affordable, explainable, and context-appropriate. The future of Agriculture 5.0 will therefore depend not only on smarter tools, but on how effectively they are integrated, governed, and made accessible across diverse farming systems.

References

1. Abedalrhman K, Alzaydi A. Precision Agriculture 4.0: Integrating advanced IoT, AI, and robotics solutions for enhanced yield, sustainability, and resource optimization—evidence from agricultural practices in Syria. Appl Sci Biotechnol J Adv Res. 2025;4(3):7-27. doi: 10.5281/zenodo.15568353.
2. Ahamed T, editor. IoT and AI in Agriculture: Smart Automation Systems for Increasing Agricultural Productivity to Achieve SDGs and Society 5.0. Singapore: Springer Nature; 2024.
3. Alahmad T, Neményi M, Nyéki A. Applying IoT sensors and big data to improve precision crop production: a review. Agronomy. 2023;13(10):2603.
4. Ameer SA, Alkhafaji MA, Jaffer Z, Al-Farouni M. Empowering farmers with IoT, UAVs, and deep learning in smart agriculture. E3S Web Conf. 2024;491:04007.
5. Awais M, Wang X, Hussain S, Aziz F, Mahmood MQ. Advancing precision agriculture through digital twins and smart farming technologies: a review. AgriEngineering. 2025;7(5):137.
6. Barua P, Emon TAC, Baroi M. Optimizing precision agriculture through AI and robotic innovation. Appl Agric Sci. 2025;3(1):1-10.
7. Bishnoi A, Singh G, Singh R. A thematic review of IoT and AI advancements in precision agriculture and sustainable farming practices. Artif Intell Inf Technol. 2024:170-175.
8. Chandel NS, Chakraborty SK, Jat D, Chouhan P. Smart farming management system: Pre and post-production interventions. In: Artificial Intelligence Techniques in Smart Agriculture. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore; 2024. p. 67-82.
9. Hermanus D. A systematic review of current trends in artificial intelligence for smart farming to enhance crop yield. J Robot Control. 2022.
10. Holzinger A, Fister I, Kaul HP, Asseng S. Human-centered AI in smart farming: toward agriculture 5.0. IEEE Access. 2024;12:62199-62214. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3395532.
11. John S, Arul Leena Rose PJ. Smart farming and precision agriculture and its need in today's world. In: Intelligent Robots and Drones for Precision Agriculture. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland; 2024. p. 19-44.
12. Khan N, Babar MA. Innovations in precision agriculture and smart farming: Emerging technologies driving agricultural transformation. Innov Emerg Technol. 2024;11:2430004.
13. Kuli BK, Debnath J, Sheikh A, Das S, Balai PS. Smart farming revolution: AI, IoT, and robotics in precision agriculture and soil conservation. Int J Sci Res Sci Eng Technol. 2025;12(2):688-706.
14. Mansoor S, Iqbal S, Popescu SM, Kim SL, Chung YS, Baek JH. Integration of smart sensors and IoT in precision agriculture: trends, challenges and future perspectives. Front Plant Sci. 2025;16:1587869. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2025.1587869.
15. Mathushika MJ, Vinushayini R, Gomes C. Smart farming using artificial intelligence, the internet of things, and robotics: a comprehensive review. Artif Intell Smart Agric Technol. 2022:1-19.
16. McLellan C. Smart farming: How IoT, robotics, and AI are tackling one of the biggest problems of the century. TechRepublic. 2018.
17. Mohamed ES, Belal AA, Abd-Elmabod SK, El-Shirbeny MA, Gad A, Zahran MB. Smart farming for improving agricultural management. Egypt J Remote Sens Space Sci. 2021;24(3):971-981.
18. Mohammed ME, Munir M. Towards smart farming: applications of artificial intelligence and internet of things in precision agriculture. In: Hyperautomation in Precision Agriculture. Academic Press; 2025. p. 27-37.
19. Mohyuddin G, Khan MA, Haseeb A, Mahpara S, Waseem M, Saleh AM. Evaluation of machine learning approaches for precision farming in smart agriculture system: a comprehensive review. IEEE Access. 2024;12:60155-60184.
20. Naheed R, Momin A. Smart Farming Technologies: AI-Driven Crop Monitoring and Precision Agronomy. Innov Res Appl Biol Chem Sci. 2025;3(1):6-14.
21. Padhiary M. Integrating 3D printing, IoT, and AI for precision agriculture: automated sensing and smart farming applications. Acad Eng. 2025;2(4).

22. Padhiary M, Kumar A, Sethi LN. Emerging technologies for smart and sustainable precision agriculture. Discov Robot. 2025;1(1):6.
23. Pal D, Joshi S. AI, IoT and robotics in smart farming: Current applications and future potentials. In: 2023 International Conference on Sustainable Computing and Data Communication Systems (ICSCDS). IEEE; 2023. p. 1096-1101.
24. Pasha Mohammed S, Deepika J, Sritharan N, Ravichandran V, Prasanthrajan M, Kannan P. A systematic literature review on artificial intelligence in transforming precision agriculture for sustainable farming: Current status and future directions. Plant Sci Today. 2025;12(2):1-13.
25. Patel A, Shukla C, Trivedi A, Balasaheb KS, Sinha MK. Smart farming: Utilization of robotics, drones, remote sensing, GIS, AI, and IoT tools in agricultural operations and water management. In: Integrated Land and Water Resource Management for Sustainable Agriculture. Vol. 1. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore; 2025. p. 127-151.
26. Polwaththa KPGDM, Amarasinghe STC, Amarasinghe AAYD, Amarasinghe AA. Exploring artificial intelligence and machine learning in precision agriculture: A pathway to improved efficiency and economic outcomes in crop production. Am J Agric Sci Eng Technol. 2024;8(3):50-59.
27. Polwaththa KPGDM, Amarasinghe AAY. Application of silver and molybdenum nanoparticles in *in vitro* propagation of Vanda tessellata orchids: Effects on growth, morphogenesis, and contamination control. J Agric Digit Res. 2026;7(1):8-15.
28. Raj EFI, Appadurai M, Athiappan K. Precision farming in modern agriculture. In: Smart Agriculture Automation Using Advanced Technologies: Data Analytics and Machine Learning, Cloud Architecture, Automation and IoT. Singapore: Springer Singapore; 2022. p. 61-87.
29. Raj M, Prahadeeswaran M. Revolutionizing agriculture: a review of smart farming technologies for a sustainable future. Discov Appl Sci. 2025;7(9):937.
30. Sahu B. Artificial intelligence and automation in smart agriculture: A comprehensive review of precision farming, all-terrain vehicles, IoT innovations, and environmental impact mitigation. Int J Sci Res. 2024;13(11):656-665.
31. Sawai NM, Mankar D, Kulkarni G, Kakde B, Ingale ME, Yadav. AI-Based Smart Farming: Integrating Robotics, Sensors, and Big Data with a Legal Policy for Sustainable Agriculture. In: 2025 OITS International Conference on Information Technology (OCIT). IEEE; 2025. p. 547-553.
32. Senoo EEK, Anggraini L, Kumi JA, Karolina LB, Akansah E, Sulyman HA, et al. IoT solutions with artificial intelligence technologies for precision agriculture: definitions, applications, challenges, and opportunities. Electronics. 2024;13(10):1894.
33. Shaikh TA, Mir WA, Rasool T, Sofi S. Machine learning for smart agriculture and precision farming: towards making the fields talk. Arch Comput Methods Eng. 2022;29(7):4557-4597.
34. Sharma A, Sharma A, Tselykh A, Bozhenyuk A, Choudhury T, Alomar MA, et al. Artificial intelligence and internet of things oriented sustainable precision farming: Towards modern agriculture. Open Life Sci. 2023;18(1):20220713.
35. Sharma K, Shivandu SK. Integrating artificial intelligence and Internet of Things (IoT) for enhanced crop monitoring and management in precision agriculture. Sens Int. 2024;5:100292.
36. Somashekar KS, Belagalla N, Srinatha TN, Abhishek GJ, Kumar V, Tiwari A. Revolutionizing agriculture: innovative techniques, applications, and future prospects in precision farming. J Sci Res Rep. 2024;30(8):405-419.
37. Soni JA, Patel HA, Patel RB. Precision Agriculture Reimagined: A Review on the Role of Semiconductors and AI in Smart Farming 2.0.
38. Sravani P, Jahanavi KVS, Anshuman J. Precision agriculture and the role of ai and robotics. 2024.
39. SS VC, Hareendran A, Albaaji GF. Precision farming for sustainability: An agricultural intelligence model. Comput Electron Agric. 2024;226:109386.
40. Sudha SP, Loret JB. A review on machine learning-based precision agriculture techniques for crop farming monitoring with IoT. Discov Environ. 2026;4(1):10.
41. Taha MF, Mao H, Zhang Z, Elmasry G, Awad MA, Abdalla A, et al. Emerging technologies for precision crop management towards agriculture 5.0: A comprehensive overview. Agriculture. 2025;15(6):582.

How to Cite This Article

Polwaththa KPGDM, Amarasinghe AAY, Amarasinghe STC. Emerging smart farming technologies for sustainable crop production: A review of AI, IoT, robotics, and precision agriculture. J Agric Digit Res. 2026;7(1):16–23. doi:10.54660/JADR.2026.7.1.16-23